

<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

Bats as potential suppressors of multiple agricultural pests : A case study from Madagascar

Kemp, James

2019-01-01

Kemp , J , López-Baucells , A , Rocha , R , Wangenstein , O S , Andriatafika , Z E , Nair , A & Cabeza , M 2019 , ' Bats as potential suppressors of multiple agricultural pests : A case study from Madagascar ' , Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment , vol. 269 , pp. 88-96 . <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2018.09.027>

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/311518>

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2018.09.027>

cc_by_nc_nd

acceptedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

Highlights:

- Malagasy bats select rice producing agricultural areas for foraging, potentially acting as important pest suppressors.
- Open space and edge space bats benefit the most by the conversion of forest to rice fields.
- Several economically important agricultural pests and disease vectors were detected in bat faecal samples.
- Promoting and conserving bat populations in agricultural landscapes might increase agricultural yields.

Bats as potential suppressors of multiple agricultural pests: a case study from Madagascar

James Kemp¹, Adrià López-Baucells^{1,2,3}, Ricardo Rocha^{3,4}, Owen S. Wangensteen⁵, Zo Andriatafika^{3,6}, Abhilash Nair³, Mar Cabeza³

1. Center for Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Changes (cE3c), Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa, 1749-016 Lisboa (Portugal).
2. Granollers Museum of Natural Sciences, 08402 Granollers, Catalonia (Spain).
3. Global Change and Conservation Lab, Helsinki Institute of Sustainability Science, Faculty of Biological and Environmental Science, University of Helsinki, FI-00014 Helsinki (Finland)
4. Conservation Science Group, Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CB2 3EJ (UK)
5. Norwegian College of Fishery Science, University of Tromsø The Arctic University of Norway
6. Institute of Science and Technics of the Environment (ISTE), University of Fianarantsoa, BP 1264 Fianarantsoa (Madagascar).

Corresponding author: James Kemp (jamesrussellkemp@gmail.com)

Keywords: Chiroptera, Acoustics, Agriculture, Rice, Ecosystem services, Crop pests, Pest control, Metabarcoding

24 **Abstract**

25 The conversion of natural habitats to agriculture is one of the main drivers of biotic change.
26 Madagascar is no exception and land-use change, mostly driven by slash-and-burn
27 agriculture, is impacting the island's exceptional biodiversity. Although most species are
28 negatively affected by agricultural expansion, some, such as synanthropic bats, are capable of
29 exploring newly available resources and benefit from man-made agricultural ecosystems. As
30 bats are known predators of agricultural pests it seems possible that Malagasy bats may be
31 preferentially foraging within agricultural areas and therefore provide important pest
32 suppression services. To investigate the potential role of bats as pest suppressors, we
33 conducted acoustic surveys of insectivorous bats in and around Ranomafana National Park,
34 Madagascar, during November and December 2015. We surveyed five landcover types:
35 irrigated rice, hillside rice, secondary vegetation, forest fragment and continuous forest. 9,569
36 bat passes from a regional assemblage of 19 species were recorded. In parallel, we collected
37 faeces from the six most common bat species to detect insect pest species in their diet using
38 DNA metabarcoding. Total bat activity was higher over rice fields when compared to forest
39 and bats belonging to the open space and edge space sonotypes were the most benefited by
40 the conversion of forest to hillside and irrigated rice. Two economically important rice pests
41 were detected in the faecal samples collected - the paddy swarming armyworm *Spodoptera*
42 *mauritica* was detected in *Mops leucogaster* samples while the grass webworm
43 *Herpetogramma licarsisalis* was detected from *Mormopterus jugularis* and *Miniopterus*
44 *majori* samples. Other crops pests detected included the sugarcane cicada *Yanga guttulata*,
45 the macadamia nut-borer *Thaumatotibia batrachopa* and the sober tabby *Ericeia inangulata*
46 (a pest of citrus fruits). Samples from all bat species also contained reads from important
47 insect disease vectors. In light of our results we argue that Malagasy insectivorous bats have
48 the potential to suppress agricultural pests. It is important to retain and maximise Malagasy

bat populations as they may contribute to higher agricultural yields and promote sustainable livelihoods.

1. Introduction

The pervasive conversion of forests for food production is a conspicuous symbol of the Anthropocene (Malhi, 2017). Large swaths of forest have already been cleared for agriculture and the encroachment of natural ecosystems is due to continue as human populations and food demand continue to rise (Giam, 2017). Madagascar holds a unique ensemble of ecosystems and wildlife that is almost unmatched in its biological uniqueness (Goodman and Benstead, 2005). However, despite its high level of endemism and species diversity, Madagascar's forests continue to face one of the highest rates of conversion in the world with approximately 1% of the island's forest cover being cleared each year (Rasolofoson *et al.*, 2015; Eklund *et al.*, 2016; Vieilledent *et al.*, 2018). While most Malagasy biodiversity is adversely affected by agriculture-driven habitat modification, some 'winner' species benefit from habitat modification and increase their abundance in agricultural areas. Several of these are insectivorous birds (Martin *et al.*, 2012; Rocha *et al.*, 2015) and bats (López-Baucells *et al.*, 2017b) that through the suppression of agricultural pests can provide valuable ecosystem services to local populations (Karp and Daily 2014; Maas *et al.* 2015).

Rice (*Oryza* spp.) is one of the most important staple food crops worldwide (Muthayya *et al.*, 2014). It is the main crop cultivated by Malagasy subsistence farmers (Kari and Korhonen-Kurki, 2013) throughout the island, and as in numerous other high-biodiversity regions across the tropics, much of the ongoing deforestation is due to agricultural expansion for rice production (McConnell *et al.*, 2004; Neudert *et al.*, 2017). Such a high dependency on rice creates problems when yields are affected by climatic events or pest outbreaks (Harvey *et al.*, 2014). Insect rice pests are known to cause severe damage to rice crop yields (Oerke, 2006).

Rice crop losses are predominantly caused by Lepidopteran stem borers found across several families such as the Noctuidae, Pyralidae, Tortricidae or Geometridae (Nwilene *et al.*, 2013). Modern day farming techniques incorporate Integrated Pest Management (IPM) to control pest populations (Stenberg, 2017). However, many small-holder farmers in sub-Saharan Africa are unable to access IPM techniques due to lack of financial capital or expertise (Parsa *et al.*, 2014). A sustainable and low cost method to aid pest control and reduce crop losses is through biological control (Bommarco *et al.*, 2013; Naranjo *et al.*, 2015). Biological control, as part of a wider application of integrated pest management practices, can involve insectivorous bats, and has already been proven effective for pecan and rice farms in the USA and Catalonia (Brown *et al.*, 2015; Puig-Montserrat *et al.*, 2015). Multiple lines of evidence support that aerial hawking insectivorous bats provide valuable agricultural pest control services in both temperate and tropical regions (Boyles *et al.*, 2011; Karp and Daily, 2014; Wanger *et al.*, 2014; Brown *et al.*, 2015; Russo *et al.*, 2018). For instance, in the Mediterranean the soprano pipistrelle *Pipistrellus pygmaeus* was found to suppress rice borer moth *Chilo suppressalis* populations through opportunistic foraging (Puig-Montserrat *et al.*, 2015). However, to date most research on tropical bat predation services has focussed on coffee and cacao agroecosystems (Maas *et al.*, 2016), with limited research targeting rice (Wanger *et al.*, 2014). One notable exception comes from Thailand where it was estimated that predation of white backed planthoppers *Sogatella furcifera* by wrinkle-lipped bats *Tadarida plicata* prevents rice crop losses valued at >1.2 million USD (or >26,000 rice meals) each year (Wanger *et al.*, 2014).

Numerous bat species (particularly of the Molossidae and Vespertilionidae families) are known to coexist synanthropically by exploring newly available resources. These bat families have been shown to feed on pests (Brown *et al.*, 2015) and to select crops as preferred foraging areas especially during insect pest outbreaks (Lehmkuhl Noer *et al.*, 2012; Taylor *et*

al., 2013a; Davidai *et al.*, 2015). In fact, bats tend to select foraging areas based upon the resources available (Ancillotto *et al.*, 2017), which makes them excellent pest suppressors during seasonal insect pest outbreaks.

Large colonies of molossid bats roost in buildings across Madagascar (Razafindrakoto *et al.*, 2010; López-Baucells *et al.*, 2017b). However, any potential predation services provided by these colonies are yet to be explored. Forty-two species of insectivorous bats occur in Madagascar, with several species occurring more frequently in anthropogenic landscapes as opposed to forest habitats (Randrianandrianina *et al.*, 2006; Rakotoarivelo *et al.*, 2007). In general, most studies have focused on the dry western region (Goodman *et al.*, 2005; Kofoky *et al.*, 2006; Bambini *et al.*, 2010; Racey *et al.*, 2010; Fernández-Llamazares *et al.*, 2018) as opposed to the humid eastern zone (Randrianandrianina *et al.*, 2006) and only a few studies have tackled habitat selection while none have addressed the potential pest suppressor role in agricultural areas.

The DNA metabarcoding of bat faecal pellets can offer valuable insights into the dietary preferences of bats and their potential role as pest suppressors (Bohmann *et al.*, 2014; Swift *et al.*, 2018). Recent diet analyses of multiple bat species have detected a wide range of arthropods in bat populations (Galan *et al.*, 2017) including several economically important pest species (Taylor *et al.*, 2017).

Here, we combine bioacoustics and DNA metabarcoding to investigate if Malagasy insectivorous bats are foraging within the island's agricultural matrix and if they are consuming important pest species. Specifically, we address the following questions:

- i) How does total bat activity, species (or species-group) activity and assemblage composition change across a rice-dominated agroecosystem landscape? We hypothesise that due to higher insect availability some bats will be more active

over rice fields compared to forested sites. We also predict a clear shift in assemblage composition from open to closed landcover types.

ii) Which species (or species-groups) are more common within the agricultural matrix? We predict that synanthropic molossids will be particularly abundant in rice fields but we still anticipate some forest associated species to forage outside the forest border.

iii) Are bats roosting within the agricultural matrix predated on agricultural insect pests? We expect bats to predate mainly on moths and beetles and we predict that several of these will be agricultural pests of rice and other crops.

2. Methods

2.1 Study area

Fieldwork was conducted primarily in the peripheral zone surrounding the Ranomafana National Park (RNP) (21°16'S, 47°20'E). The peripheral zone comprises over 160 villages with a population in excess of 50,000 in an area of approximately 500 km² (Kari and Korhonen-Kurki, 2013). Agricultural communities in the region, like many throughout Madagascar, cultivate rice through slash-and-burn agriculture (*tavy*) and irrigated paddies (Peters, 1998; Brooks *et al.*, 2009). The RNP is located between the central highlands and the eastern lowlands and is of particular ecological and economic interest due to its high biodiversity and watershed protection role.

2.2 Bat surveys

Bats were surveyed from November to December 2015 in 54 sites in and around RNP (Fig. 1). Sites were clustered around seven villages (Kelilalina, Tsaratanana, Mangevo, Andriamamovoka, Amboasary, Mandriandry and Tolongoina) and were classified into five landcover categories: irrigated rice fields ($n = 12$), hillside rice fields ($n = 8$), secondary

vegetation i.e. fallow agricultural land of mixed successional vegetation ($n = 11$), forest fragment ($n = 9$) and continuous forest in RNP ($n = 15$) (for landcover images and description see supplementary materials Fig. A.1.). Bat activity was recorded using SongMeter SM2BAT+ and SM3 autonomous bat detectors (Wildlife Acoustics, Concord, MA, USA). Detectors were secured to a tree at approximately 1.5 m with external SMX-II omnidirectional microphones (Wildlife Acoustics, Concord, MA, USA). Detectors were set to record calls continuously from 18:00 until 06:00 for three consecutive nights at each locality. Bat activity was sampled for 1,956 hours across a total of 147 detector-nights of sampling effort. Detectors were set with a 384 kHz sample rate, 12 kHz digital high pass filter, 18 dB trigger level, microphone bias off, and 36 dB gain. We used a 1.0 s trigger window minimum to capture calls prior to the initial trigger.

#Figure 1 approximately here#

2.3 Bioacoustic analysis

Recordings were manually classified using Kaleidoscope software version 3.1.7 (Wildlife Acoustics, Concord, MA, USA). We defined a bat pass as a recording of five seconds maximum with at least two pulses with more than 20 dB of difference between the background noise and bat call (Appel *et al.*, 2017). Call sequences were manually identified to species level or left as mixed species groups, or sonotypes, where it was not possible to clearly assign a call to a particular species (Torrent *et al.*, 2018). Call sequences were also identified as feeding buzzes (specific echolocation sequence that a bat uses as it pursues and subsequently catches its prey). We used the frequency of maximum energy or peak frequency (kHz), the start and ending frequencies (kHz), the duration (ms) and the call shape to identify or group species from the existing literature and our own release calls (Fenton *et al.*, 1980; Russ and Bennett, 2001; Kofoky *et al.*, 2009; Goodman *et al.*, 2011; Goodman *et al.*, 2015). Our analysis included a total of 11 sonotypes from the families Emballonuridae,

Hipposideridae, Molossidae, Miniopteridae, Myzopodidae and Vespertilionidae. Five sonotypes were classified to species level and the remaining six into sonotype groups (Table 1).

#Table 1 approximately here#

2.4 Faecal sample collection

Mist-nets were used to capture bats at roosts in five villages in the RNP area (for sampling details see López-Baucells *et al.*, 2017). Three caves were inspected and surveyed with mist-netting outside of the emergence point (for *Miniopterus* spp. and *Myotis goudoti*). Bats were measured, weighed and identified using keys (Russ and Bennett, 2001; Goodman, 2011). Bat capture and handling was conducted following guidelines approved by the American Society of Mammalogists (Sikes *et al.*, 2011). We kept the bats in cloth bags for an hour until defecation occurred. Faecal pellets were labelled and stored in 2 ml tubes in 95% ethanol and stored in a cool dry space. Of the 322 bats caught, we collected faecal samples from 150 bats. Fifty-eight faecal samples from six species (*Chaerephon atsinanana*, *Mops leucostigma*, *Mormopterus jugularis*, *Myotis goudoti*, *Miniopterus manavi*, *Miniopterus majori*) were used for the diet analysis.

2.5 DNA extraction and PCR amplification

The DNA was extracted from the faecal samples using the Norgen Stool Kit following instructions provided by the manufacturers (Norgen Biotek Corp.). Amplification of DNA from the faeces was achieved using the Leray-XT PCR primer set (Wangensteen *et al.*, 2018b), a highly degenerated primer set targeting a 313-bp fragment of the mitochondrial cytochrome c. oxidase subunit I (COI) region. The mlCOIintF-XT primer (5'-GGWACWRGWTGRACWITITAYCCYCC-3') was used as forward primer. This modified version (Wangensteen *et al.*, 2018b) of the mlCOIintF primer (Leray *et al.*, 2013) included

two extra degenerate bases (equimolar mixtures of two different bases at a given position) and two inosine nucleotides to enhance its eukaryotic universality. The reverse primer was jgHCO2198 (5'-TAIACYTCIGGRTGICCRAARAAYCA-3';(Geller *et al.*, 2013)). The Leray fragment has already been successfully applied to the characterisation of both marine fish gut contents (Leray *et al.*, 2013), marine invertebrates (Siegenthaler *et al.*, 2018) and terrestrial arthropods (Macías-Hernández *et al.*, 2018). A single-PCR step using primers with attached eight-base oligo-tags (Coissac *et al.*, 2012) was used to label different samples in a multiplexed library; moreover a variable number (2, 3 or 4) of fully degenerate positions (Ns) was added at the beginning of each primer, in order to increase variability of the amplicon sequences (Guardiola *et al.*, 2015). The PCR mix recipe included 10 µl AmpliTaq gold 360 master mix (Applied Biosystems), 3.2 µg Bovine Serum Albumin (Thermo-Scientific), 1 µl of each of the 5 µM forward and reverse tagged-primers, 5.84 µl water and 2 µl extracted DNA template (~ 5 ng µl⁻¹). The PCR profile included an initial denaturing step of 95 °C for 10 min, 35 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, 45 °C for 1 min and 72 °C for 1 min and a final extension step of 72 °C for 5 minutes. After a quality check of all amplicons by electrophoresis, the tagged PCR products (including a negative control) were pooled into a multiplexed sample pool and purified using MinElute columns (Qiagen). An Illumina library was subsequently built from these pools, using the NextFlex PCR-free library preparation kit (BIOO Scientific). The library was sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq platform using v3 chemistry (2x250 bp paired-ends), as part of a multiplexed run including ten other unrelated libraries.

2.7 Bioinformatic analyses

Bioinformatic analyses were performed using the OBITools metabarcoding software suite (Boyer *et al.*, 2016). Read quality assessment was performed with FastQC and only paired-end reads with phred quality score > 40 was retained. Demultiplexing and primer removal

were achieved using ngsfilter. Obigrep was applied to select all aligned reads with a length between 303-323 bp and without ambiguous bases. Obiuniq was used to dereplicate the reads and the uchime-denovo algorithm (Edgar *et al.*, 2011) implemented in VSEARCH (Rognes *et al.*, 2016) was used to remove chimeric sequences. Amplicon clustering was performed using the SWARM 2.0 algorithm (Mahé *et al.*, 2015) with a distance value of d=13, which offers a conservative solution to the high variability of the COI gene (Siegenthaler *et al.*, 2018). Taxonomic assignment of the representative sequences for each molecular operational taxonomic unit (MOTU) was performed using the ecotag algorithm (Boyer *et al.*, 2016), using a local reference database (Wangenstein *et al.*, 2018b) containing filtered COI sequences retrieved from the BOLD database (Ratnasingham and Hebert, 2007) and the EMBL repository (Kulikova *et al.*, 2004). This algorithm uses a phylogenetic approach to assign sequences to the most reliable monophyletic unit, so that sequences are assigned to different taxonomic ranks, depending on the density of the reference database. The data was refined by removing contaminations of marine origin (originated by tag-switching from other multiplexed libraries in the sequencing run). A minimum abundance filter of 5 total reads was used to avoid false positives and low frequency noise (De Barba *et al.*, 2014; Wangenstein and Turon, 2017). This pipeline, with little variations, has been previously used for analysing metabarcoding data for the same COI marker in a variety of systems (e.g. Wangenstein and Turon, 2017; Macías-Hernández *et al.*, 2018; Siegenthaler *et al.*, 2018; Wangenstein *et al.*, 2018a; Wangenstein *et al.*, 2018b). The resulting data has been deposited on Mendeley Data ([dataset] Kemp *et al.*, 2018)

2.8 Statistical analysis

Bat activity was defined as the total number of bat passes per night from all species as well as for each sonotype (Torrent *et al.*, 2018). As appropriate for count data, negative binomial or Poisson generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs) with a log link function were used to

model the relationship between bat activity and landcover type (continuous forest, forest fragments, secondary vegetation, hillside rice and irrigated rice) (Burnham and Anderson, 2003). Species with less than 300 recordings were not used in the analysis due to a lack of model convergence. Since preliminary analyses suggested that the count data were overdispersed, we accounted for this overdispersion by using a Poisson or negative binomial regression in *glmer* or *glmmADMB* and adding a random intercept of “Site” nested within “Location” (Bates, 2010).

Numbers of bat passes were positively correlated with feeding buzzes (Table A.1). We therefore only used the larger bat passes dataset for modelling as a proxy for feeding activity (Torrent *et al.*, 2018). Moran’s *I* test showed that there is no residual spatial autocorrelation between sites (Table A.2). The difference in assemblage structure between landcover types was analysed using the analysis of dissimilarity test *adonis*. It was visualised through a non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS), based on a Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix, using sonotype activity data per site. We analysed and presented the data using R statistical software 3.4.1 (R Development Core Team, 2017) with the packages: tidyverse (Wickham, 2016), lme4 (Bates *et al.*, 2014), glmmADMB (Skaug *et al.*, 2015) and vegan (Oksanen *et al.*, 2013).

The relative abundance of MOTU reads for prey items (excluding predator reads and normalized to 10,000 total prey reads per sample) was calculated for all prey MOTUs. The relative abundances per faecal sample for all prey MOTUs were then averaged per bat species. We then grouped the MOTU sequences by arthropod orders and highlighted the pest and disease transmitting insect species, alongside any species or genera that we suspected to have a potential pest status.

3. Results

3.1 Bat activity

We recorded a total of 9,569 bat passes, of which 1,643 (17 %) were identified to species level (*Hipposideros commersoni*, *M. manavi*, *M. goudoti*, *Myzopoda aurita*, *Paraemballonura atrata*), 2,261 (24 %) were identified to sonotypes of two species (*Miniopterus gleni*/*M. majori*, *Scotophilus robustus*/*M. gleni*, *S. robustus*/*M. jugularis*, *Otomops madagascariensis*/*Tadarida fulminans*), and 5,665 (60 %) were attributed to sonotypes Molossidae 1 (Mo1: *C. atsinanana*, *M. leucostigma*, *M. jugularis*, *Taphozous mauritanus*) and Vespertilionidae/Miniopteridae 1 (VMi1: *M. gleni*, *M. majori*, *M. manavi*, *Miniopterus soroculus*, *Neoromicia matroka*, *Pipistrellus hesperidus*, *Pipistrellus raceyi*). In total 1,013 feeding buzzes were recorded, with Mo1 accounting for 389 (38 %) of feeding buzzes, VMi1 for 334 (33 %) and *P. atrata* for 102 (10 %).

Bat activity was highest in hillside rice with a mean of 197 passes/night and more than double that of the next landcover type with more bat activity - irrigated rice at 89 passes/night (Table 2). Overall bat activity in both types of rice field, hillside and irrigated, was higher than activity levels in continuous forest (Table A.3). According to pairwise comparisons (Table A.4), total bat activity over hillside rice was higher than in continuous forest ($p < 0.01$) and forest fragments ($p < 0.05$) whereas activity in irrigated rice was only higher than continuous forest ($p < 0.01$).

In hillside and irrigated rice, Mo1, VMi1, *M. goudoti* and *M. gleni*/*M. majori*, had significantly higher activity compared to continuous forest while *O. madagascariensis*/*T. fulminans* was higher in hillside rice compared to continuous forest. In continuous forest and forest fragments, *P. atrata* and *M. goudoti* had the highest mean bat passes/night, respectively (Fig. 2).

#Table 2 approximately here#

#Figure 2 approximately here#

3.2 Assemblage composition

Assemblage composition varied between landcover type (adonis: $r^2 = 0.253$; $p = 0.001$). This was corroborated by the NMDS ordination which revealed distinct patterns of dissimilarities in assemblage composition between the five landcover classes (Fig. 3). The NMDS had a final stress value of 0.12 conveying a good representation of the data along the represented dimensions.

#Figure 3 approximately here#

3.3 Presence of insect pests in faecal samples (DNA metabarcoding)

We obtained a total number of 655,205 MOTU reads from all samples. 43.5% (285,978) of the reads were attributed to bats while 5.3% (34,599) of the reads were assigned to arthropods. Overall, when looking at the insect orders found in the faecal samples, the highest average relative abundance of MOTU reads found were of Coleoptera, Lepidoptera, Ephemeroptera, Diptera and Hemiptera (Table 3). All the bats species sampled fed on, at least, 11-13 orders of arthropods.

#Table 3 approximately here#

In 58 bat faecal samples we found six known pest species, seven insect vectors of human diseases and 17 potential pest taxa (Table A.5). Of the known agricultural pests found in the faecal samples, two economically important rice pest species were found – the paddy swarming caterpillar *Spodoptera mauritia* in *M. leucogaster* and the grass webworm *Herpetogramma licarsisalis* in *M. jugularis* and *M. majori*. Other crops pests detected were: the black twig borer *Xyleborus ferrugineus* a pest of coffee; the sugarcane cicada *Yanga guttulata*; the macadamia nut-borer *Thaumatotibia batrachopa* and the sober tabby *Ericeia inangulata* a pest of citrus fruits. Potential pest species and genera, from the order

Lepidoptera, were found in all bat species. In particular: *Mythimna* sp. – a genus containing the rice armyworm *Mythimna unipuncta*; *Emmalocera* sp. – a genus containing a sugarcane root borer *Emmalocera depressella*; and *Cydia choleropa* – a sister species of the codling moth *Cydia pomonella* a pest of apples and pears.

Discussion

Large colonies of, predominantly, molossid, vespertilionid and miniopterid bats, were found to be preferentially selecting the rice fields surrounding the RNP. Six species of bats were shown to have fed upon economically important insect pests such as the paddy swarming caterpillar (*Spodoptera mauritia*) and the Grass webworm (*Herpetogramma licarsisalis*). In agreement with Puig-montserrat *et al.* (2011) and Wanger *et al.* (2014) insectivorous bats, particularly molossids, are likely to be preferentially selecting rice fields for foraging and feeding upon rice crops pests and other economically important insects.

Bat activity across landcover types.

The highest overall mean activity was found in hillside rice followed by irrigated rice and secondary vegetation (Table 2). Hillside rice has markedly lower yields compared to lowland irrigated rice. Water and nutrient run-off impact the growth of upland rice. A lack of water and nutrient retention in the rice crop makes it more susceptible to insect pest infestations. This may be one reason why we recorded the highest activity in hillside rice. However, it is also possible that there was an altitudinal detection bias as hillside rice and secondary vegetation sites were on open hillsides with little vegetation and facing large valleys (Collins and Jones, 2009). Both sites, however, were found at similar altitudes and had markedly different results (Table 2, Table A.3). Irrigated rice sites, on the other hand, are found at the bottom of valleys. Despite the possible altitudinal bias, activity within irrigated rice was the second highest of the landcover types (Table 2, Table A.3). Intensive rice agriculture harbours high densities of insect pests which provide an excellent resource for insectivorous

bats. Insects form swarms, especially tympanic moths (Noctuidae, Crambidae and Pyralidae), during mating and emergence, which bats are able to opportunistically prey upon (McCracken *et al.*, 2012; Malmqvist *et al.*, 2018).

The open space group, Mo1, was the most active overall and over hillside rice (Figure 2, Table 2). This suggests that open-space aerial hawkers are important agents of pest suppression in the rice-dominated landscape surrounding the RNP and potentially throughout much of Madagascar's agroecosystems. Further research and action is required to improve the knowledge of bats dietary preferences, both temporally and spatially. The reputation of these bats among local communities needs to be improved, especially as they form large colonies in public buildings (López-Baucells *et al.*, 2017b).

The results conform to the notion that molossids (in addition to *Taphozous mauritanus*), which are open-space aerial hawkers, commute and forage at higher altitudes than other families (Lee and McCracken, 2002; McCracken *et al.*, 2008; Taylor *et al.*, 2013b). Open space foragers have a high wing loading ratio (fast flight; low manoeuvrability) which suggests that they do not use cluttered sites and this explains their low detection in forested areas (Schnitzler and Kalko, 2001).

The sonotype VMi1, comprised of three vespertilionids and three miniopterids, was found to be the most active in irrigated rice fields and the second most active overall (Figure 2, Table 2). The species in this sonotype forage as edge-space aerial-hawkers (Verboom and Huitema, 1997; Taylor *et al.*, 2013b). The mosaic of vegetation and fruit trees, rivers and streams, paths, terraces and anthropomorphic structures within the vicinity of the rice fields may provide this group with the required heterogeneity or "edge" habitat to forage (Monck-Whipp *et al.*, 2018). This is important for the contextualization of our results as edge-space foragers

are known to predate upon insect pests within agroecosystems (Taylor *et al.*, 2013a; Taylor *et al.*, 2013b; Brown *et al.*, 2015; Puig-Montserrat *et al.*, 2015)

The edge-clutter species, *Myotis goudoti* and *Paraemballonura atrata*, were previously captured in forest in the same region as our study (Goodman *et al.*, 2014). We recorded both species at relatively high levels in irrigated rice, forest fragments and continuous forest sites. Although post hoc tests showed no significant differences, this activity shows that these species are selecting lowland irrigated rice and forest for foraging. The NMDS plots show that both species are strongly associated with forest sites (Fig. 3). NMDS axis 2 shows *P. atrata* is more associated with rice fields than *M. goudoti*. The fact that these edge-clutter species, *P. atrata* and *M. goudoti*, switch between open and closed sites highlights the importance of retaining forest nearby for roost provision and maintaining bat populations. The paucity of available roosts for bats in rice dominated landscapes is certainly an issue and one that requires addressing as a sustainable solution to crop losses. Installing bat houses and improving landscape heterogeneity are ways to address the lack of suitable roosts available (Flaquer *et al.*, 2006; Lindell *et al.*, 2018; Monck-Whipp *et al.*, 2018).

Additionally, we recorded two charismatic, endemic and difficult to catch species - *Myzopoda aurita* and *Hipposideros commersoni*. The eastern sucker-footed bat *Myzopoda aurita* was recorded in hillside and irrigated rice and in secondary vegetation (Table 2). This species roosts in the furled-up leaves of the traveller's palm *Ravenala madagascariensis* which can grow in open areas of vegetation or forest. Commersoni's horseshoe bat *Hipposideros commersoni* is the largest insectivorous bat in Madagascar, listed as Near Threatened (Andriafidison, 2008), and it was mainly recorded in hillside rice (Table 2). The echolocation of *Hipposideros commersoni* (high duty cycle echolocation) is extremely efficient for hunting in cluttered spaces. The bat may be roosting in the remnant forests and foraging in the adjacent hillside rice. The rarity of both species might limit their

predation services but their high association with forest habitat qualifies them as good indicator taxa for the evaluation of habitat disturbance.

As expected, from the NMDS plots, the assemblage composition in the landscape shows that there was a turnover of species and sonotypes (Fig. 3). One can see a gradient from irrigated rice to continuous forest, from left to right. The open space foragers (*Mo1* and *O. madagascariensis*/*T. fulminans*) occupy the left side of NMDS axis 1 while the clutter and edge-space foragers (*M. goudoti* and *P. atrata*) occupy the right side of the plot, illustrating the foraging preferences of the aforementioned guilds (Schnitzler and Kalko, 2001). Hillside rice and secondary vegetation almost entirely overlap which illustrates the similarity of these sites in terms of species assemblage.

Diet analysis and implications of bat foraging behaviour

The DNA metabarcoding results illustrate that insectivorous bats feed on a wide range of prey including a number of economically important insect pest species that affect a range of crops in addition to insect disease vectors (see supplementary materials Table A.5.). The results of this study, therefore, show the potential role of insectivorous bats in suppressing economically important insects in agricultural landscapes.

We found that the sonotypes that were preferentially selecting rice fields were also the most important contributors to pest suppression in rice fields. For example, *M. leucogaster* and *M. jugularis* from the *Mo1* sonotype were found to have fed upon the rice pests *Spodoptera mauritia* and *Herpetogramma licarsisalis*, respectively (Table A.5). Greater pest suppression leads to greater yields and less reliance upon slash and burn agriculture, or *tavy* (Styger *et al.*, 2007). This form of agriculture is environmentally damaging and encroaches upon forests when fallow lands are no longer fertile. Forest fragments still offer valuable refuges for certain species, yet insectivorous bats generally prefer rice fields for foraging. By identifying

the most active sonotypes and how they change across different land-uses we can begin to understand the level of pest suppression that bats provide to agricultural landscapes.

It is important to note that the fieldwork only spanned a short amount of time (approximately three days per locality). The research therefore does not reflect the seasonal and spatial variation of bat diets nor do the results intentionally follow peaks in insect populations. Additionally, although we have identified bat predation on predatory arthropods that can potentially contribute to the suppression of agricultural pests (e.g. spiders - order Araneae - were identified in the diet of *M. goudoti*; Table 3) we did not explore the effects of intra-guild predation on herbivorous arthropods. Since most Malagasy bats are predominantly aerial feeders we anticipated that bat predation on non-flying arthropods would be limited. We suggest that future research should try to investigate the effects of intra-guild predation and any potential cascading effects on the abundance of agricultural pests and on rice yield. Furthermore, despite the fact that our study focussed on a rice-dominated agroecosystem, it is important to note that the pests of other crops found in bat faeces illustrates the global potential of bats as pest suppressors. Further research quantifying the role of bats as pest suppressors in Madagascar is urgently needed as they: receive little protection from Malagasy legislation; fall under game species regulations i.e. they are not actively protected; many are data deficient; and there is little appreciation of their role in ecosystem services (Racey *et al.*, 2010).

Conclusions

Deforestation and habitat loss due to agricultural expansion are the primary driver of biodiversity loss in Madagascar. The need for agricultural expansion to compensate for crop losses is exacerbated by climatic extremes and insect pest outbreaks. We found that Malagasy insectivorous bats have the potential to suppress these outbreaks as they predate upon insect

pests. Therefore, retaining and maximising bat populations across the island's agricultural landscapes can contribute to higher agricultural yields and help promote sustainable livelihoods. Provision of artificial roosts such as bat-boxes (Puig-Montserrat *et al.*, 2015; López-Baucells *et al.*, 2017a) and increased landscape heterogeneity is an important consideration for agricultural and conservation planning, specifically for open and edge-foragers. Since some cave-dwelling bat species (i.e. *Miniopterus manavi*, *Miniopterus majori*, and *Myotis goudoti*) were also predated on insect pests, appropriate conservation legislation and cave protection initiatives (i.e. regulation of the harvesting of guano and cave tourism) are essential to keep their populations stable. Further research and action is required to improve the knowledge of bat dietary preferences, following pest outbreaks both temporally and spatially, while improving the reputation of bats among local communities.

Acknowledgements

We thank Georges Razafindrakoto, Eric Marcel Temba, Kristian Forbes and Tafita Tojoso Rakotoarimanana for fieldwork and logistical assistance, and MICET and Centre ValBio staff for their hospitality and logistical support. We also thank the Department of Biosciences, University of Helsinki for supporting the RESPECT field course. Madagascar National Parks and the “Ministere de l'Environnement de l'ecologie et des forests” (Département de Biologie Animale, DBA) provided the research permits to capture bat specimens within the study area (293/15/MEEMF/SG/DGF/DAPT/SCBT). This work was supported by the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology under Grant PD/BD/52597/2014 for ALB, 318 SFRH/BD/80488/2011 for RR and PD/BD/114363/2016 to JK. MC is financially supported by the Academy of Finland (grant #257686).

Figure and table captions

Figure 1. Map of sampling sites within and surrounding the Ranomafana National Park, Madagascar.

Figure 2. Mean bat activity per night per sonotype (>300 passes) for each landcover type, with standard errors. See Table 1 for sonotype abbreviations.

Figure 3. NMDS plot showing community assemblage of sonotypes (in text) relative to sampling sites (coloured dots – corresponding to landcover type). See Table 1 for sonotype abbreviations.

Table 1. List of species known to occur in the region incorporating Ranomafana National Park with sonotypes created from mean peak frequency ranges from the existing literature.

Table 2. Mean bat passes (\pm SD) per night per sonotype across each landcover type. Significant differences to continuous forest from generalised linear mixed models highlighted in bold.

Table 3. Average relative abundance of MOTU reads per 10,000 reads for six bat species (number of samples in brackets) grouped by arthropod order. See Table A.5 for insect pest and disease vector species and genera.

References

- Ancillotto, L., Ariano, A., Nardone, V., Budinski, I., Rydell, J., Russo, D., 2017. Effects of free-ranging cattle and landscape complexity on bat foraging: Implications for bat conservation and livestock management. *Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.* 241, 54-61
- Andriafidison, D., Cardiff, S.G., Goodman, S.M., Hutson, A.M., Jenkins, R.K.B., Kofoky, A.F., Racey, P.A., Ranivo, J., Ratrimomanarivo, F.H. and Razafimanahaka, H.J. , 2008.

482 *Hipposideros commersoni*. In: IUCN (Ed.), The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species
 483 2008.<http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2008.RLTS.T10120A3168011.en>.
 484 Appel, G., López-Baucells, A., Magnusson, W.E., Bobrowiec, P.E.D., 2017. Aerial
 485 insectivorous bat activity in relation to moonlight intensity. *Mamm. Biol.* 85, 37-
 486 46.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mambio.2016.11.005>
 487 Bambini, L., Kofoky, A., Mbohoahy, T., Ralisata, M., Manjoazy, T., Hosken, D.J., Jenkins,
 488 R.K., 2010. Do bats need trees? Habitat use of two Malagasy hipposiderid bats *Triaenops*
 489 *furculus* and *T. menamena* in the dry Southwest. *Hystrix* 22.[https://doi.org/10.4404/Hystrix-](https://doi.org/10.4404/Hystrix-22.1-4467)
 490 22.1-4467
 491 Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., Walker, S., 2014. lme4: Linear mixed-effects models
 492 using Eigen and S4. R package version 1
 493 Bates, D.M., 2010. lme4: Mixed-effects modeling with R. Springer New York
 494 Bohmann, K., Evans, A., Gilbert, M.T.P., Carvalho, G.R., Creer, S., Knapp, M., Douglas,
 495 W.Y., De Bruyn, M., 2014. Environmental DNA for wildlife biology and biodiversity
 496 monitoring. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 29, 358-367.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2014.04.003>
 497 Bommarco, R., Kleijn, D., Potts, S.G., 2013. Ecological intensification: harnessing ecosystem
 498 services for food security. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 28, 230-
 499 238.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2012.10.012>
 500 Boyer, F., Mercier, C., Bonin, A., Le Bras, Y., Taberlet, P., Coissac, E., 2016. obitools: a
 501 unix-inspired software package for DNA metabarcoding. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 16, 176-
 502 182.<https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12428>
 503 Boyles, J.G., Cryan, P.M., McCracken, G.F., Kunz, T.H., 2011. Economic importance of bats
 504 in agriculture. *Science* 332, 41-42

505 Brooks, C.P., Holmes, C., Kramer, K., Barnett, B., Keitt, T.H., 2009. The role of demography
506 and markets in determining deforestation rates near Ranomafana National Park, Madagascar.
507 PLoS ONE 4, e5783.<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0005783>

508 Brown, V.A., de Torrez, E.B., McCracken, G.F., 2015. Crop pests eaten by bats in organic
509 pecan orchards. *Crop Protect.* 67, 66-71.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2014.09.011>

510 Burnham, K., Anderson, D., 2003. Information and likelihood theory: a basis for model
511 selection and inference. In: Burnham, K.P., Anderson, D.R. (Eds.), *Model selection and*
512 *multimodel inference: a practical information-theoretic approach*. Springer Science &
513 Business Media, pp. 49-97.

514 Coissac, E., Riaz, T., Puillandre, N., 2012. Bioinformatic challenges for DNA metabarcoding
515 of plants and animals. *Mol. Ecol.* 21, 1834-1847.[https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05550.x)
516 [294X.2012.05550.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05550.x)

517 Collins, J., Jones, G., 2009. Differences in bat activity in relation to bat detector height:
518 implications for bat surveys at proposed windfarm sites. *Acta Chiropt.* 11, 343-
519 350.<https://doi.org/10.3161/150811009X485576>

520 Davidai, N., Westbrook, J.K., Lessard, J.-P., Hallam, T.G., McCracken, G.F., 2015. The
521 importance of natural habitats to Brazilian free-tailed bats in intensive agricultural landscapes
522 in the Winter Garden region of Texas, United States. *Biol. Conserv.* 190, 107-
523 114.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2015.05.015>

524 De Barba, M., Miquel, C., Boyer, F., Mercier, C., Rioux, D., Coissac, E., Taberlet, P., 2014.
525 DNA metabarcoding multiplexing and validation of data accuracy for diet assessment:
526 application to omnivorous diet. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 14, 306-323.[https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-](https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12188)
527 [0998.12188](https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12188)

528 Edgar, R.C., Haas, B.J., Clemente, J.C., Quince, C., Knight, R., 2011. UCHIME improves
 529 sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. *Bioinformatics* 27, 2194-
 530 2200.<https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr381>
 531 Eklund, J., Blanchet, F.G., Nyman, J., Rocha, R., Virtanen, T., Cabeza, M., 2016. Contrasting
 532 spatial and temporal trends of protected area effectiveness in mitigating deforestation in
 533 Madagascar. *Biol. Conserv.* 203, 290-297.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.09.033>
 534 Fenton, M., Bell, G., Thomas, D., 1980. Echolocation and feeding behaviour of *Taphozous*
 535 *mauritanus* (Chiroptera: Emballonuridae). *Can. J. Zool.* 58, 1774-
 536 1777.<https://doi.org/10.1139/z80-244>
 537 Fernández-Llamazares, Á., López-Baucells, A., Rocha, R., Andriamitandrina, S.F.,
 538 Andriatafika, Z.E., Burgas, D., Temba, E.M., Torrent, L., Cabeza, M., 2018. Are sacred caves
 539 still safe havens for the endemic bats of Madagascar? *Oryx* 52, 271-
 540 275.<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605317001648>
 541 Flaquer, C., Torre, I., Ruiz-Jarillo, R., 2006. The value of bat-boxes in the conservation of
 542 *Pipistrellus pygmaeus* in wetland rice paddies. *Biol. Conserv.* 128, 223-
 543 230.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2005.09.030>
 544 Galan, M., Pons, J.B., Tournayre, O., Pierre, E., Leuchtmann, M., Pontier, D., Charbonnel,
 545 N., 2017. Metabarcoding for the parallel identification of several hundred predators and their
 546 preys: application to bat species diet analysis. *Mol. Ecol.*
 547 *Resour.*<https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12749>
 548 Geller, J., Meyer, C., Parker, M., Hawk, H., 2013. Redesign of PCR primers for
 549 mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I for marine invertebrates and application in
 550 all-taxa biotic surveys. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 13, 851-861.[https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-](https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12138)
 551 [0998.12138](https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12138)

552 Giam, X., 2017. Global biodiversity loss from tropical deforestation. Proceedings of the
 553 National Academy of Sciences 114, 5775-5777.<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1706264114>
 554 Goodman, S., 2011. Les chauves-souris de Madagascar. Association Vahatra, Antananarivo.
 555 Goodman, S.M., Andriafidison, D., Andrianaivoarivelo, R., Cardiff, S.G., Ifticene, E.,
 556 Jenkins, R.K., Kofoky, A., Mbohoahy, T., Rakotondravony, D., Ranivo, J., 2005. The
 557 distribution and conservation of bats in the dry regions of Madagascar. Anim. Conserv. 8,
 558 153-165.<https://doi.org/10.1017/S136794300500199X>
 559 Goodman, S.M., Benstead, J.P., 2005. Updated estimates of biotic diversity and endemism
 560 for Madagascar. Oryx 39, 73-77.<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605305000128>
 561 Goodman, S.M., Rakotondramanana, C.F., Ramasindrazana, B., Kearney, T., Monadjem, A.,
 562 Schoeman, M.C., Taylor, P.J., Naughton, K., Appleton, B., 2015. An integrative approach to
 563 characterize Malagasy bats of the subfamily Vespertilioninae Gray, 1821, with the
 564 description of a new species of Hypsugo. Zool. J. Linn. Soc. 173, 988-
 565 1018.<https://doi.org/10.1111/zoj.12223>
 566 Goodman, S.M., Ramasindrazana, B., Maminirina, C.P., Schoeman, M.C., Appleton, B.,
 567 2011. Morphological, bioacoustical, and genetic variation in Miniopterus bats from eastern
 568 Madagascar, with the description of a new species. Zootaxa 2880, 1-
 569 19.<https://doi.org/10.1111/zoj.12223>
 570 Goodman, S.M., Rasoanoro, M., Ralisata, M., Ramasindrazana, B., 2014. The bats of the
 571 Kianjavato-Vatovavy region, lowland eastern central Madagascar. Malagasy Nature 8, 89-
 572 102
 573 Guardiola, M., Uriz, M.J., Taberlet, P., Coissac, E., Wangenstein, O.S., Turon, X., 2015.
 574 Deep-sea, deep-sequencing: metabarcoding extracellular DNA from sediments of marine
 575 canyons. PLoS ONE 10, e0139633.<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0139633>

576 Harvey, C.A., Rakotobe, Z.L., Rao, N.S., Dave, R., Razafimahatratra, H., Rabarijohn, R.H.,
 577 Rajaofara, H., MacKinnon, J.L., 2014. Extreme vulnerability of smallholder farmers to
 578 agricultural risks and climate change in Madagascar. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal*
 579 *Society B: Biological Sciences* 369, 20130089.10.1098/rstb.2013.0089
 580 Kari, S., Korhonen-Kurki, K., 2013. Framing local outcomes of biodiversity conservation
 581 through ecosystem services: A case study from Ranomafana, Madagascar. *Ecosyst. Serv.* 3,
 582 e32-e39.<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoser.2012.12.003>
 583 Karp, D.S., Daily, G.C., 2014. Cascading effects of insectivorous birds and bats in tropical
 584 coffee plantations. *Ecology* 95, 1065-1074.<https://doi.org/10.1890/13-1012.1>
 585 Kemp, J., López-Baucells, A., Rocha, R., Wangenstein, O.S., Andriatafika, Z., Nair, A.,
 586 Cabeza, M., 2018. Metabarcoding results from the research article: "Bats as potential
 587 suppressors of multiple agricultural pests: a case study from Madagascar". Mendeley
 588 Data.<https://10.17632/x43j7mv6tp.1>
 589 Kofoky, A., Andriafidison, D., Ratrimomanarivo, F., Razafimanahaka, H.J., Rakotondravony,
 590 D., Racey, P.A., Jenkins, R.K., 2006. Habitat use, roost selection and conservation of bats in
 591 Tsingy de Bemaraha National Park, Madagascar. *Vertebrate Conservation and Biodiversity*.
 592 Springer, pp. 213-227.
 593 Kofoky, A.F., Randrianandrianina, F., Russ, J., Raharinantenaina, I., Cardiff, S.G., Jenkins,
 594 R.K., Racey, P.A., 2009. Forest bats of Madagascar: results of acoustic surveys. *Acta*
 595 *Chiropt.* 11, 375-392.<https://doi.org/10.3161/150811009X485602>
 596 Kulikova, T., Aldebert, P., Althorpe, N., Baker, W., Bates, K., Browne, P., van den Broek,
 597 A., Cochrane, G., Duggan, K., Eberhardt, R., 2004. The EMBL nucleotide sequence
 598 database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 32, D27-D30.<https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki098>

599 Lee, Y.-F., McCracken, G.F., 2002. Foraging activity and food resource use of Brazilian free-
 600 tailed bats, *Tadarida brasiliensis* (Molossidae). *Ecoscience* 9, 306-
 601 313.<https://doi.org/10.1080/11956860.2002.11682717>
 602 Lehmkuhl Noer, C., Dabelsteen, T., Bohmann, K., Monadjem, A., 2012. Molossid bats in an
 603 African agro-ecosystem select sugarcane fields as foraging habitat. *Afr. Zool.* 47, 1-
 604 11.<https://doi.org/10.3377/004.047.0120>
 605 Leray, M., Yang, J.Y., Meyer, C.P., Mills, S.C., Agudelo, N., Ranwez, V., Boehm, J.T.,
 606 Machida, R.J., 2013. A new versatile primer set targeting a short fragment of the
 607 mitochondrial COI region for metabarcoding metazoan diversity: application for
 608 characterizing coral reef fish gut contents. *Front Zool* 10, 34.[https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-](https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-9994-10-34)
 609 9994-10-34
 610 Lindell, C., Eaton, R.A., Howard, P.H., Roels, S.M., Shave, M.E., 2018. Enhancing
 611 agricultural landscapes to increase crop pest reduction by vertebrates. *Agric., Ecosyst.*
 612 *Environ.* 257, 1-11.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2018.01.028>
 613 López-Baucells, A., Puig-Montserrat, X., Torre, I., Freixas, L., Mas, M., Arrizabalaga, A.,
 614 Flaquer, C., 2017a. Bat boxes in urban non-native forests: a popular practice that should be
 615 reconsidered. *Urban Ecosyst.* 20, 217-225.[10.1007/s11252-016-0582-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11252-016-0582-9)
 616 López-Baucells, A., Rocha, R., Andriatafika, Z., Tojoso, T., Kemp, J., Forbes, K., Cabeza,
 617 M., 2017b. Roost selection by synanthropic bats in rural Madagascar: what makes non-
 618 traditional structures so tempting? *Hystrix* 28, 28-35.[https://doi.org/10.4404/hystrix-28.1-](https://doi.org/10.4404/hystrix-28.1-12046)
 619 12046
 620 Maas, B., Karp, D.S., Bumrungsri, S., Darras, K., Gonthier, D., Huang, J.C.C., Lindell, C.A.,
 621 Maine, J.J., Mestre, L., Michel, N.L., 2016. Bird and bat predation services in tropical forests
 622 and agroforestry landscapes. *Biological Reviews* 91, 1081-1101

623 Macías-Hernández, N., Athey, K., Tonzo, V., Wangenstein, O.S., Arnedo, M., Harwood,
 624 J.D., 2018. Molecular gut content analysis of different spider body parts. PLoS ONE 13,
 625 e0196589.<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196589>
 626 Mahé, F., Rognes, T., Quince, C., de Vargas, C., Dunthorn, M., 2015. Swarm v2: highly-
 627 scalable and high-resolution amplicon clustering. PeerJ 3,
 628 e1420.<https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.1420>
 629 Malhi, Y., 2017. The Concept of the Anthropocene. Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour. 42, 77-
 630 104.<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-102016-060854>
 631 Malmqvist, E., Jansson, S., Zhu, S., Li, W., Svanberg, K., Svanberg, S., Rydell, J., Song, Z.,
 632 Bood, J., Brydegaard, M., 2018. The bat–bird–bug battle: daily flight activity of insects and
 633 their predators over a rice field revealed by high-resolution Scheimpflug Lidar. R. Soc. Open
 634 Sci. 5, 172303.<https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.172303>
 635 Martin, E.A., Viano, M., Ratsimisetra, L., Laloë, F., Carrière, S.M., 2012. Maintenance of
 636 bird functional diversity in a traditional agroecosystem of Madagascar. Agric., Ecosyst.
 637 Environ. 149, 1-9.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2011.12.005>
 638 McConnell, W.J., Sweeney, S.P., Mulley, B., 2004. Physical and social access to land: spatio-
 639 temporal patterns of agricultural expansion in Madagascar. Agric., Ecosyst. Environ. 101,
 640 171-184.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2003.09.012>
 641 McCracken, G.F., Gillam, E.H., Westbrook, J.K., Lee, Y.-F., Jensen, M.L., Balsley, B.B.,
 642 2008. Brazilian free-tailed bats (*Tadarida brasiliensis*: Molossidae, Chiroptera) at high
 643 altitude: links to migratory insect populations. Integr. Comp. Biol. 48, 107-
 644 118.<https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/icn033>
 645 McCracken, G.F., Westbrook, J.K., Brown, V.A., Eldridge, M., Federico, P., Kunz, T.H.,
 646 2012. Bats track and exploit changes in insect pest populations. PLoS ONE 7,
 647 e43839.<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0043839>

648 Monck-Whipp, L., Martin, A.E., Francis, C.M., Fahrig, L., 2018. Farmland heterogeneity
 649 benefits bats in agricultural landscapes. *Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.* 253, 131-
 650 139.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2017.11.001>
 651 Muthayya, S., Sugimoto, J.D., Montgomery, S., Maberly, G.F., 2014. An overview of global
 652 rice production, supply, trade, and consumption. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1324, 7-
 653 14.<https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.12540>
 654 Naranjo, S.E., Ellsworth, P.C., Frisvold, G.B., 2015. Economic value of biological control in
 655 integrated pest management of managed plant systems. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.*
 656 60.<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-010814-021005>
 657 Neudert, R., Ganzhorn, J.U., Wätzold, F., 2017. Global benefits and local costs—The dilemma
 658 of tropical forest conservation: A review of the situation in Madagascar. *Environ. Conserv.*
 659 44, 82-96.<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0376892916000552>
 660 Nwilene, F.E., Nacro, S., Tamò, M., Menozzi, P., Heinrichs, E.A., Hamadoun, A., Dakouo,
 661 D., Adda, C., Togola, A., 2013. Managing Insect Pests of Rice in Africa. In: Marco C S
 662 Wopereis, David E Johnson, Nourollah Ahmadi, Eric Tollens, Jalloh, A. (Eds.), *Realizing*
 663 *Africa's Rice Promise*. CABI, p. 229.
 664 Oerke, E.C., 2006. Crop losses to pests. *The Journal of Agricultural Science* 144, 31-
 665 43.[10.1017/S0021859605005708](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859605005708)
 666 Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F.G., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Minchin, P.R., O'hara, R., Simpson,
 667 G.L., Solymos, P., Stevens, M.H.H., Wagner, H., 2013. Package 'vegan'. *Community*
 668 *ecology package, version 2*
 669 Parsa, S., Morse, S., Bonifacio, A., Chancellor, T.C., Condori, B., Crespo-Pérez, V., Hobbs,
 670 S.L., Kroschel, J., Ba, M.N., Rebaudo, F., 2014. Obstacles to integrated pest management
 671 adoption in developing countries. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 111, 3889-
 672 3894.<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1312693111>

673 Peters, J., 1998. Transforming the integrated conservation and development project (ICDP)
 674 approach: observations from the Ranomafana National Park Project, Madagascar. *J. Agric.*
 675 *Environ. Ethics* 11, 17-47.<http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1007796628731>
 676 Puig-Montserrat, X., Torre, I., López-Baucells, A., Guerrieri, E., Monti, M.M., Ràfols-
 677 García, R., Ferrer, X., Gisbert, D., Flaquer, C., 2015. Pest control service provided by bats in
 678 Mediterranean rice paddies: linking agroecosystems structure to ecological functions. *Mamm.*
 679 *Biol.* 80, 237-245.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mambio.2015.03.008>
 680 R Development Core Team, 2017. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
 681 R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL:
 682 <http://www.R-project.org>
 683 Racey, P.A., Goodman, S.M., Jenkins, R.K., 2010. The ecology and conservation of
 684 Malagasy bats. In: Fleming, T., Racey, P. (Eds.), *Islands Bats: Evolution, Ecology, and*
 685 *Conservation*. . University of Chicago Press, pp. 369-404.
 686 Rakotoarivelo, A.A., Ranaivoson, N., Ramilijaona, O.R., Kofoky, A.F., Racey, P.A., Jenkins,
 687 R.K., 2007. Seasonal Food Habits of Five Sympatric Forest microchiropterans in Western
 688 Madagascar. *J. Mammal.* 88, 959-966.<https://doi.org/10.1644/06-MAMM-A-112R1.1>
 689 Randrianandrianina, F., Andriafidison, D., Kofoky, A.F., Ramilijaona, O., Ratrimomanarivo,
 690 F., Racey, P.A., Jenkins, R.K., 2006. Habitat use and conservation of bats in rainforest and
 691 adjacent human-modified habitats in eastern Madagascar. *Acta Chiropt.* 8, 429-
 692 437.[https://doi.org/10.3161/1733-5329\(2006\)8\[429:HUACOB\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.3161/1733-5329(2006)8[429:HUACOB]2.0.CO;2)
 693 Rasolofoson, R.A., Ferraro, P.J., Jenkins, C.N., Jones, J.P.G., 2015. Effectiveness of
 694 Community Forest Management at reducing deforestation in Madagascar. *Biol. Conserv.* 184,
 695 271-277.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2015.01.027>
 696 Ratnasingham, S., Hebert, P.D., 2007. BOLD: The Barcode of Life Data System
 697 (<http://www.barcodinglife.org>). *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 7, 355-364

698 Razafindrakoto, N., Harwell, A., Jenkins, R.K., 2010. Bats roosting in public buildings: A
699 preliminary assessment from Moramanga, eastern Madagascar. *Madag. Conserv. Dev.* 5
700 Rocha, R., Virtanen, T., Cabeza, M., 2015. Bird assemblages in a Malagasy forest-
701 agricultural frontier: effects of habitat structure and forest cover. *Trop. Conserv. Sci.* 8, 681-
702 710.<https://doi.org/10.1177/194008291500800307>
703 Rognes, T., Flouri, T., Nichols, B., Quince, C., Mahé, F., 2016. VSEARCH: a versatile open
704 source tool for metagenomics. *PeerJ* 4, e2584.<https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2584>
705 Russ, J., Bennett, D., 2001. *The Bats of Madagascar: A Field Guide with Descriptions of*
706 *Echolocation Calls*. Viper Press.
707 Russo, D., Bosso, L., Ancillotto, L., 2018. Novel perspectives on bat insectivory highlight the
708 value of this ecosystem service in farmland: Research frontiers and management
709 implications. *Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.* 266, 31-38.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2018.07.024>
710 Schnitzler, H.-U., Kalko, E.K.V., 2001. Echolocation by Insect-Eating Bats: We define four
711 distinct functional groups of bats and find differences in signal structure that correlate with
712 the typical echolocation tasks faced by each group. *Bioscience* 51, 557-
713 569.[https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568\(2001\)051\[0557:ebieb\]2.0.co;2](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2001)051[0557:ebieb]2.0.co;2)
714 Siegenthaler, A., Wangenstein, O.S., Benvenuto, C., Campos, J., Mariani, S., 2018. DNA
715 metabarcoding unveils large-scale trophic variation in a widespread coastal opportunist. *Mol.*
716 *Ecol.* in review
717 Sikes, R.S., Gannon, W.L., Care, A., Mammalogists, U.C.o.t.A.S.o., 2011. Guidelines of the
718 American Society of Mammalogists for the use of wild mammals in research. *J. Mammal.* 92,
719 235-253.<https://doi.org/10.1644/10-MAMM-F-355.1>
720 Skaug, H., Fournier, D., Bolker, B., Magnusson, A., Nielsen, A., 2015. glmmADMB:
721 generalized linear mixed models using AD model builder. R package v. 0.8. 1.

722 Stenberg, J.A., 2017. A Conceptual Framework for Integrated Pest Management. Trends
 723 Plant Sci. 22, 759-769.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2017.06.010>

724 Styger, E., Rakotondramasy, H.M., Pfeffer, M.J., Fernandes, E.C., Bates, D.M., 2007.
 725 Influence of slash-and-burn farming practices on fallow succession and land degradation in
 726 the rainforest region of Madagascar. Agric., Ecosyst. Environ. 119, 257-
 727 269.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2006.07.012>

728 Swift, J.F., Lance, R.F., Guan, X., Britzke, E.R., Lindsay, D.L., Edwards, C.E., 2018.
 729 Multifaceted DNA metabarcoding: validation of a non-invasive, next-generation approach to
 730 studying bat populations. Evol. Appl. 0.<https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12644>

731 Taylor, P., Bohmann, K., Steyn, J., Schoeman, M., Matamba, E., Zepeda-Mendoza, E.,
 732 Nangammbi, T., Gilbert, M., 2013a. Bats eat pest green vegetable stinkbugs (*Nezara*
 733 *viridula*): diet analyses of seven insectivorous species of bats roosting and foraging in
 734 macadamia orchards at Levubu, Limpopo Province, South Africa. SAMAC Yearbook 21, 37-
 735 43

736 Taylor, P.J., Matamba, E., Steyn, J.N., Nangammbi, T., Zepeda-Mendoza, M.L., Bohmann,
 737 K., 2017. Diet determined by next generation sequencing reveals pest consumption and
 738 opportunistic foraging by bats in macadamia orchards in South Africa. Acta Chiropt. 19, 239-
 739 254.<https://doi.org/10.3161/15081109ACC2017.19.2.003>

740 Taylor, P.J., Monadjem, A., Nicolaas Steyn, J., 2013b. Seasonal patterns of habitat use by
 741 insectivorous bats in a subtropical African agro-ecosystem dominated by macadamia
 742 orchards. Afr. J. Ecol. 51, 552-561.<https://doi.org/10.1111/aje.12066>

743 Torrent, L., Lopez-Baucells, A., Rocha, R., Bobrowiec, P., Meyer, C., 2018. The importance
 744 of lakes for bat conservation in Amazonian rainforests: an assessment using autonomous
 745 recorders. Remote Sens. Ecol. Conserv.<https://doi.org/10.1002/rse2.83>

Verboom, B., Huitema, H., 1997. The importance of linear landscape elements for the
 pipistrelle *Pipistrellus pipistrellus* and the serotine bat *Eptesicus serotinus*. Landscape Ecol.
 12, 117-125.<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02698211>

Vieilledent, G., Grinand, C., Rakotomalala, F.A., Ranaivosoa, R., Rakotoarijaona, J.-R.,
 Allnutt, T.F., Achard, F., 2018. Combining global tree cover loss data with historical national
 forest cover maps to look at six decades of deforestation and forest fragmentation in
 Madagascar. Biol. Conserv. 222, 189-197.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2018.04.008>

Wangensteen, O.S., Cebrian, E., Palacín, C., Turon, X., 2018a. Under the canopy:
 Community-wide effects of invasive algae in Marine Protected Areas revealed by
 metabarcoding. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 127, 54-
 66.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.11.033>

Wangensteen, O.S., Palacín, C., Guardiola, M., Turon, X., 2018b. DNA Metabarcoding of
 littoral hard-bottom communities: high diversity and database gaps revealed by two
 molecular markers. PeerJ 6:e4705.<https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.4705>

Wangensteen, O.S., Turon, X., 2017. Metabarcoding techniques for assessing biodiversity of
 marine animal forests. In: Sergio Rossi, L.B., Andrea Gori, Covadonga Orejas (Ed.), Marine
 animal forests. The ecology of benthic biodiversity hotspots. Springer International
 Publishing, Switzerland, pp. 445-473.

Wanger, T.C., Darras, K., Bumrungsri, S., Tschardt, T., Klein, A.-M., 2014. Bat pest
 control contributes to food security in Thailand. Biol. Conserv. 171, 220-
 223.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2014.01.030>

Wickham, H., 2016. tidyverse: Easily install and load ‘tidyverse’ packages [Software].

Table 1 - List of species and acoustics
[Click here to download Tables: Table 1 - Acoustics.xlsx](#)

Table 1. List of species known to occur in the region incorporating Ranomafana National Park with sonotypes created from mean peak frequency ranges from the existing literature.

Sonotype	Family	Species	Mean peak frequency ranges (kHz)	Call shape	IUCN category
<i>H.commersoni</i>	Hipposideridae	<i>Hipposideros commersoni</i> ¹	61.6-76.5	FM-CF-FM	NT
<i>M.goudoti</i>	Vespertilionidae	<i>Myotis goudoti</i> ¹	55.3-72.1	FM	LC
<i>M.gle/maj</i>	Miniopteridae	<i>Miniopterus gleni</i> ^{1,2} , <i>Miniopterus majori</i> ^{1,2}	42.9-50.3	FM-QCF	LC; LC
<i>M.manavi</i>	Miniopteridae	<i>Miniopterus manavi</i> ^{1,2}	58.3-61.5	FM-QCF	LC
<i>M.aurita</i>	Myzopodidae	<i>Myzopoda aurita</i> ³	14	FM-CF	LC
<i>O.mad/T.ful</i>	Molossidae	<i>Otomops madagascariensis</i> ³ <i>Tadarida fulminans</i> ³	13.0-20.0	CF	LC; LC
<i>P.atrata</i>	Emballonuridae	<i>Paraemballonura atrata</i> ¹	50.0-54.3	FM-CF	LC
<i>S.rob/M.gle</i>	Vespertilionidae/Miniopteridae	<i>Miniopterus gleni</i> ^{1,2} , <i>Scotophilus robustus</i> ¹	38.4-42.8	FM-QCF	LC; LC
VMi1	Vespertilionidae/Miniopteridae	<i>Miniopterus gleni</i> ^{1,2} , <i>Miniopterus manavi</i> ^{1,2} , <i>Miniopterus majori</i> ^{1,2} , <i>Miniopterus soroculus</i> ² , <i>Neoromicia matroka</i> ⁴ , <i>Pipistrellus hesperidus</i> ⁴ , <i>Pipistrellus raceyi</i> ⁴	50.4-58.2	FM-QCF	LC; LC; LC; LC; LC; LC; DD
<i>S.rob/M.jug</i>	Molossidae; Vespertilionidae	<i>Mormopterus jugularis</i> ⁵ , <i>Scotophilus robustus</i> ¹	30.6-38.3	FM-QCF	LC; LC
Mo1	Molossidae; Emballonuridae	<i>Chaerephon atsinanana</i> ⁵ , <i>Mops leucostigma</i> ⁵ , <i>Mormopterus jugularis</i> ⁵ , <i>Taphozous mauritanus</i> ⁶	21.0-30.5	FM-QCF/CF	NA; LC; LC; LC

Sources: Kofoky *et al.* 2009, 2. Ramasindrazana *et al.* , 2011, 3. Russ and Bennett, 2001, 4. Goodman *et al.* , 2015, 5. Release calls, 6. Fenton *et al.* , 1980

Table 2 - Mean bat activity

[Click here to download Tables: Table 2 - Mean bat activity.xlsx](#)

Table 2. Mean bat passes (±SD) per night per sonotype across each landcover type. Significant differences to continuous forest from generalised linear mixed models highlighted in bold.

Sonotype	Landcover type					
	Continuous forest	Forest fragment	Secondary vegetation	Irrigated rice	Hillside rice	Total
<i>Hipposideros commersoni</i>	0	0.04 (± 0.2)	0	0.03 (±0.2)	1.09 (±3)	0.18 (±1)
<i>Myzopoda aurita</i>	0	0	0.04 (±0.2)	0.13 (±0.6)	0.22 (±0.5)	0.07 (±0.3)
<i>Myotis goudoti</i>	4.21 (±10)	14.65 (±30)	2.16 (±4)	9 (±23)	4.22 (±4)	6.69 (±18)
<i>Miniopterus gleni/M.majori</i>	0.05 (±0.2)	0.58 (±1)	2.56 (±4)	7.8 (±11)	15.65 (±15)	4.59 (±10)
<i>Miniopterus manavi</i>	0	2.85 (±8)	0.04 (±0.2)	0	0.04 (±0.2)	0.52 (±3)
Mo1	0.12 (±0.5)	5.5 (±11)	20.08 (±38)	10.67 (±15)	98.7 (±131)	22.04 (±64)
<i>Otomops madagascariensis/Tadarida fulminans</i>	0.07 (±0.5)	0.92 (±2)	0.52 (±1)	0.17 (±1)	23.61 (±100)	4 (±40)
<i>Paraemballonura atrata</i>	5.4 (±29)	0.04 (±0.2)	1.96 (±7)	6.87 (±22)	2.48 (±6)	3.71 (±19)
<i>Scotophilus robustus/Miniopterus gleni</i>	0	0	5.08 (±8)	3.00 (±5)	23.74 (±26)	5.19 (±14)
<i>Scotophilus robustus/Mormopterus jugularis</i>	0	0	1.52 (±3)	1.93 (±3)	6.04 (±8)	1.60 (±4)
VMi1	2.84 (±7)	7.69 (± 15)	5.84 (±8)	48.9 (±100)	21.3 (±17)	16.50 (±49)
Total	12.72 (±36)	32.27 (±55)	39.80 (±53)	88.50 ±(127)	197.09 (±228)	65.1 (±128)

Table 3 - Metabarcoding results per arthropod order
[Click here to download Tables: Table 3 - Metabarcoding results per arthropod order.xlsx](#)

Table 3. Average relative abundance of MOTU reads per 10,000 reads for six bat species (number of samples in brackets) grouped by arthropod order. See Table A.5. for insect pest and disease vector species and genera.

Order name	<i>C. atsinanana</i> (12)	<i>M. leucogaster</i> (10)	<i>M. jugularis</i> (9)	<i>M. goudoti</i> (9)	<i>M. majori</i> (6)	<i>M. manavi</i> (12)	Average
Araneae	0	0	0	27.34	0	0	4.56
Astigmata	0	0	0.3	0	1.68	2.54	0.76
Blattodea	2.7	33.16	134.95	19.62	307.45	325.05	137.15
Coleoptera	1095.78	1708.65	1845.63	891.49	112.43	672.35	1054.39
Dermaptera	0	0	19.36	0	0	0	3.23
Diptera	64.56	94.34	834.68	163.94	137.09	208.7	250.55
Ephemeroptera	625.26	17.09	1053.68	67.67	76.4	44.52	314.1
Hemiptera	1.42	17.23	18.86	30.32	1933.65	661.81	443.88
Hymenoptera	0.05	1.3	0.1	352.68	104.67	708.29	194.51
Lepidoptera	138.94	63.06	414.78	324.2	2351.33	846.68	689.83
Mesostigmata	0	0.8	0	1.28	0	26.12	4.7
Neuroptera	0	0	3.96	0	0	0.69	0.78
Odonata	0.32	0	0	0	0	0	0.05
Orthoptera	3.23	2.68	3.35	0	0	0	1.54
Sarcoptiformes	0.66	14.46	2.57	72.57	1.8	8.05	16.69
Siphonaptera	0.31	0	0	0	0	0	0.05
Symphyleona	0	0	0	1.74	0	0	0.29
Trichoptera	3.05	0.02	0	3.51	10.22	0	2.8
Trombidiformes	0.5	0.33	0	0.2	185.73	2.67	31.57
Total	1936.78	1953.11	4332.25	1956.53	5222.45	3507.48	

Figure 1 - Map
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure 2 - Mean bat activity
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)





Figure 3 - NMDS
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)



Supplementary Material for publication online only

[Click here to download Supplementary Material for publication online only: Kemp et al_supplementary material.docx](#)